Advanced seismic imaging for geothermal development
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Summary

In the geothermal fields of the Great Basin physiographic province of western North America, drilling success or failure often depends on hitting fault or fracture zones. Advanced seismic reflection imaging has proven to be the only effective geophysical means of accurately targeting geothermal drilling. At target depths of 1 to 2 kilometers, the pay zones are often less than 0.1 km wide. Surface geological and “integral” (e.g., potential-field, electrical, magnetotelluric, seismic-refraction) geophysical studies have often proven successful at locating new geothermal fields for development. However, surface and integral methods cannot direct exploration or development within a field, and geothermal exploration drilling in the region has had a success rate as small as 10%. Such high risk for costly drilling has long held back the development of the region’s full geothermal-power potential. The development of advanced seismic imaging techniques has led to drilling success rates of 80% at some prospects. Advanced seismic imaging, as a “differential” method, is able to focus direct images of steeply dipping faults as seismic reflectors, allowing accurate planning of geothermal drill targets. The technology achieves the focusing and accurate location of structure and stratigraphy through thick piles of heterogeneous Tertiary volcanics, below complex surficial basin structure. This capability has allowed researchers to use these seismic images to carry out advanced seismic attribute analyses, model testing, and verification of tectonic hypotheses. We are collaborating on research to further refine these imaging methodologies.

Introduction

Coolbaugh et al. (2005) reviewed the chemistry and geologic setting of productive geothermal fields in California and Nevada, western United States. They draw a sharp distinction between what they term magmatic-sourced geothermal resources, and extension-sourced geothermal resources. Within the Great Basin physiographic province of eastern California, Nevada, and Utah, magmatic geothermal systems are found at the edges of the province.

Within the province only extensionally controlled, tectonically sourced geothermal resources are found. Oldow (2003) identified the extensional tectonics as one component of the trans-tensional strains occurring across the western part of the Great Basin due to the northwestward movement of the Sierra Nevada microplate relative to stable North America. Faulds et al. (2005) regard this region as a broad, distributed plate boundary, almost 1000 km wide. Faulds et al. (2006) proposed the structural control of geothermal systems having an extensional origin. They observed that productive geothermal systems in the Great Basin tend to occur in the extensional quadrants of fault intersections.

Given the fault control on extensionally sourced geothermal fields of the Great Basin, the success or failure of geothermal exploration and development often depend on whether exploration drilling is able to intersect the controlling fault or fracture zones. At target depths of 1 to 2 kilometers, the faulted pay zones are often less than 0.1 km wide. Accurately locating faults, at reservoir depths, in advance of exploration drilling will significantly reduce the economic risk of geothermal development, by lowering the number of unsuccessful bores.

Surface geological investigations and “integral” geophysical techniques form a class of predictive methods that have rapidly increasing uncertainty with depth below the surface. Integrative geophysical measurements show the total effect of all rocks within a volume or along a path, such as temperature, gravity and magnetic (potential-field), electrical-resistivity, magnetotelluric, and seismic refraction and surface-wave measurements. Integrative methods have often proven successful at locating new geothermal fields within a region for possible development. Hemgartner and Louie (2007) used regional seismic refraction studies to show the association of geothermal productivity with crustal thickness in the Great Basin. Cumming (2011) described a method for the exploration of magmatic geothermal systems using the discovery of hydrothermal sinter deposits followed by electromagnetic surveys to define the clay cap bounding the geothermal reservoir. Such investigations can help define the potential that a geothermal reservoir may exist.

However, surface geological and integral geophysical methods are of limited use in directing exploration or development within a field once it has been discovered. As one result, geothermal exploration drilling in the Great Basin has had a low success rate in the past, perhaps as small as 10%. Such high risk for costly drilling has long held back the development of the region’s full geothermal-power potential.

An alternative to integral geophysical methods is “differential” methods. Where integral methods show average measurements of high accuracy but poor location precision, differential methods attain localized
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measurements with (often) poor accuracy, but excellent location precision. Differential geophysical sounding techniques are few in number, amounting essentially to just seismic reflection and ground-probing radar. Instead of integrating rock properties along a path or within a volume, differential methods produce data related to changes in rock properties over short distances. For example, a modern seismic-reflection survey might not be able to distinguish whether a fault zone is 50 or 100 meters wide, but it will be able to locate the center of the fault zone with a precision of 100 meters or better, within a geothermal reservoir at 1-2 km depth.

The imaging and location precision capabilities of seismic-reflection surveys have long been critical to the success of the oil and gas industry. Brown (2005) recounts how the development of the three-dimensional seismic reflection surveying technique allowed that industry to raise the drilling success rate of from 10% to over 50% between 1985 and 1995. The fivefold reduction in risk allowed the economic exploration of remote and deepwater areas where the cost of drilling is huge, greatly increasing global energy production.

The geothermal industry did not share this period of boom with the oil industry. Certainly a principal problem in the 1990-2000 period was geothermal energy’s lack of economic competitiveness with cheap oil and gas. But it was true as well that, until recently, seismic reflection surveys could not be used effectively for geothermal exploration.

While oil and gas are often found in large sedimentary basins, both magmatic and extensional geothermal resources are typically found in much more geologically complex settings. Lateral geologic complexity such as swarms of faults, interfingering flows and sediments in piles of volcanic basin fill, and complex small basins lead to rapid lateral changes in the seismic velocity property of rocks. This great degree of velocity heterogeneity requires the most advanced seismic imaging techniques, similar to the “sub-salt” techniques currently being developed in the oil industry. The need for such advanced techniques prevented accurate velocity modeling in geothermal fields, until recently.

Method

The first part of the solution to the problem of the lack of added value for geothermal reflection surveys was to solve the seismic velocity problem. Simulated annealing velocity optimization was researched at the University of Nevada Seismological Laboratory during the early 1990s (Pullammanappallil and Louie, 1994). Velocity optimization iterates through hundreds of thousands of possible velocity distributions to find the single, or “global” solution that best fits the seismic data, making no assumptions on the direction or magnitude of velocity gradient. The nonlinear simulated annealing optimization method produces high-resolution velocity sections from first arrivals picked off raw seismic shot records.

The second part of the solution was to employ pre-stack Kirchhoff depth migration in processing raw seismic shot records into true-depth sections. Kirchhoff imaging directly images subsurface structures oriented in any direction (Louie et al., 1988). It has the advantage of requiring minimal pre-processing of the records, with no need for the numerous pre-processing steps common to conventional seismic data processing. The simplified processing flow leads to savings on labor costs for interpreters.

Kirchhoff depth migration directly images structures in depth rather than seismic travel time. It takes advantage of the accurate velocity models from the optimization technique to place reflectors in their correct location. Direct imaging in depth avoids the unreliable time to depth conversion common in conventional data processing. The technique can handle velocity variations in any direction in addition to structures with any strike and dip (Louie and Qin, 1993). Unlike the processing procedures that had been used previously with geothermal seismic surveys, pre-stack migration can image flat and moderately dipping structures together with steeply dipping structures. This attribute makes pre-stack Kirchhoff depth migration ideal for imaging of areas with extensive faulting and fracturing (Chavez-Perez et al., 1998).

A successful early DOE-funded pilot study in Dixie Valley, Nevada (Honjas et al., 1997; Grant Number DE-FG07-97ID13465) utilized 2D seismic surveys that had been recorded in the 1980s with the parameters that were standard at the time. Later surveys have been designed with new data acquisition parameters that enhance the results from advanced processing techniques.

Examples

In advanced seismic imaging, the more accurate, laterally variable seismic velocity sections lead directly to much-improved seismic-reflection images across the narrow and laterally complex Tertiary and Quaternary basin structures of the Great Basin. These advanced sections often contain images of faults within and bounding the basins. Faults are traditionally seen only indirectly in seismic results, offsetting imaged stratigraphy, or as low-velocity zones. With advanced seismic imaging, fault planes often appear directly as seismic reflectors in their own right, even at vertical dip.
A geothermal prospect under development in northern Nevada was surveyed recently with a network of several 2D seismic surveys. This exploration program was designed explicitly for advanced seismic imaging. Figure 1 shows one of the sections, from a line in the dip direction of the rangefront fault, in red. Additional normal faults (yellow and blue) appear basinward of the rangefront fault. Each controls a hidden half graben. Only the rangefront fault is manifested at the surface.

Figure 1: Advanced seismic imaging section from a geothermal prospect in northern Nevada. The optimized velocity section is superimposed on the black-and-white reflection section. Faults are marked as colored lines, with the rangefront fault in red.

Figure 1 shows fault-plane reflections from the rangefront fault, red, but the blue basinward fault is marked by particularly strong direct reflections from the fault plane. These appear as strongly as any of the other reflections, perhaps indicating the higher concentration of fluids found in a geothermal reservoir. The alluvial-fan stratigraphy above is also strikingly clear.

Frary et al. (2011) reported on a recent network of sixteen 2D advanced seismic imaging surveys near Pyramid Lake, Nevada. Figure 2 shows a 3D view of a few of the sections in the network, with a prominent fault-plane reflection marked by “A”. This fault was unknown to the geologic model until discovered with advanced seismic imaging.

Interpretations of faults across all sixteen seismic lines in the network have been made on the basis of direct fault images as well as stratigraphic terminations, in Frary et al. (2011) and Eisses et al. (2011). At a depth of 1300 m in a well drilled 6 months after completion of the seismic surveys (at the intersection of the two seismic sections shown in Figure 3), a fault gouge noted in the geologic log and confirmed in the chips by J. Faulds and B. Mayhew (pers. comm., 2011) correlates within 28 m depth with a fault interpreted from the advanced seismic images of several sections. This fault is marked with blue fault sticks in Figure 3.

Figure 2: Advanced seismic imaging sections of a geothermal prospect near Pyramid Lake, Nevada, with a direct image of a fault plane marked with “A”. From Frary et al. (2011).

Figure 3 shows as well that advanced seismic techniques are able to accurately image the complex and discontinuous stratigraphy of volcanic and volcaniclastic basin fill. The shorter cross-line shows a strongly reflective sequence of basalts as a synform below a more seismically transparent rhyolite dome (J. Faulds and B. Mayhew, pers. comm., 2011). The recent drill hole logs show these features as well correlate closely with the stratigraphic interpretations made from the seismic results, to within 10 m depth at these shallower levels. Eisses et al. (2011) are further correlating the fault interpretations from the geothermal prospects with additional fault data from marine surveys on Pyramid Lake, which will help develop a comprehensive tectonic model of the region.

A final case history is shown in Figure 4. This study started with an advanced seismic survey conducted in 2008 on the campus of the Oregon Institute of Technology (OIT) in Klamath Falls. Black lines on the image mark interpreted faults. OIT located a new well (red in Figure 4) based on the seismic interpretation. Drilling intersected the fault within 15 m of the subsurface location predicted by the seismic program. Together with the shallower production and injection wells, the new well provides enough heat to meet 60% of the electrical needs of the entire OIT campus.
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We are able to directly image faults and other tectonic structures as reflections. This ability helps to determine their relationship to faults and fractures controlling the reservoir permeability and production. With such results, seismic exploration can be used to reduce risk and increase productivity in all phases of geothermal development: exploration; production; and resource management.

Seismic exploration is economic and feasible with advanced seismic imaging, yielding significant added value. At Pyramid Lake and OIT, seismic was cost effective, providing a volumetric velocity model encompassing many square kilometers, and extending to depths exceeding 2 km, for less than half the cost of a single exploration drill hole. A volumetric depth model can be used to reduce risk and increase productivity in all phases of geothermal development. Seismic exploration is the only geophysical method that can directly sample the subsurface to reservoir depths. Advanced seismic imaging can be used to calibrate and corroborate magnetotelluric and gravity data. As a “differential” method, it is able to focus direct images of steeply dipping faults, as seismic reflectors, allowing accurate planning of geothermal drill targets.

Advanced seismic technology achieves the focusing and accurate location of structure and stratigraphy through thick piles of heterogeneous Tertiary volcanics, and below complex surficial basin structure. This capability was suggested several years ago in northern Nevada, and confirmed more recently with drilling at Pyramid Lake and at OIT. Conducting seismic exploration is necessary for increasing the feasibility of geothermal development projects. With the proven effectiveness of advanced seismic techniques, we now have projects underway at geothermal fields worldwide.

The development of advanced seismic imaging techniques by has led to drilling success rates over 80% at some prospects. At one geothermal field in Imperial Valley, California, a recent 3D seismic reflection survey, expressly collected, processed, and interpreted with the full suite of advanced seismic imaging techniques, allowed the positioning of five exploration wells. Five of these five wells hit economic geothermal reservoirs at the locations predicted by the seismic survey.

The ability to image faults as direct seismic reflectors has allowed researchers to use these seismic images to carry out advanced seismic attribute analyses, model testing, and verification of tectonic hypotheses. These investigations are allowing all the standard attributes to be developed for near-vertical fault planes, as well as the specialized AVO techniques of Louie (1990) and Louie and Asad (1995).

Conclusions

Acknowledgments

This material is based upon work partially supported by the National Science Foundation; by the Pyramid Lake Paiute Tribe; and by the U.S Department of the Interior Office of Indian Energy and Economic Development, Division of Energy and Mineral Development. Prof. James Faulds of the Nevada Bureau of Mines and Geology provided key geologic guidance and interpretations near Pyramid Lake.
REFERENCES


